

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/009,696	11/06/2001	John Eric Arnold	DN1999111USA	1392
27280	7590 12/15/2004		EXAMINER	
THE GOODYEAR TIRE & RUBBER COMPANY INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 823 1144 EAST MARKET STREET			NGUYEN, XUAN LAN T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
AKRON, O	H 44316-0001	3683		
			DATE MAILED: 12/15/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

• •					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/009,696	ARNOLD ET AL.			
,, ,	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Lan Nguyen	3683   <b>   </b>			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 17 November 2004 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this application and applications are supplied amendment whice the supplications are supplied as a supplication and applications are supplied as a su	ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in			
PERIOD FOR R	REPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mail b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Of timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth a later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for reply fice later than three months after the mai	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension out of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action: or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered to	pecause:				
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);				
(c)	in better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) 🛛 they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	or reconsideration has been consi	dered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims v	nt(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b vould be rejected is provided belo	l will be entered and an work or appended.			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9 and 11-15</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme					
10. Other:		Pa Noon 12/13/04			

Lan Nguyen Patent Examiner Art Unit: 3683 Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant's argument has been considered but found non persuasive. The rejections are still the same as in the Final Office Action dated 8/17/04.